## WHY IS QUÉBEC CONSIDERING AN ALTERNATIVE?

PIERRE-CARL MICHAUD HEC MONTRÉAL

### THE POLICY CASE

- What's the diagnosis?
  - Low income replacement rates in future for middle class workers with no RPP
  - Disincentives to save (and work) for low earners due to GIS clawback
- How did we get there?
  - CPP/QPP was never generous, built on top of OAS and GIS
  - Similar to UK with SERPS being built on top of Basic Pension
  - Integrated with RPPs
- What could the doctor prescribe?
  - QPP/CPP reform
  - PRPP (RVER in Quebec)
  - OAS-GIS reform

## WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL DRAWBACKS OF FEDERAL PROPOSAL?

- Addressing a targeted problem with a full-expansion of CPP
- Forcing contributions for low and high income workers
  - Low income workers: replacement rate well over 90% already
  - High income workers: does QPP/CPP provide best return and flexibility for them?
- GIS clawback means low returns for low income workers:
  - Uses the WITB, a program which is not part of the retirement system, to patch
  - Financed intertemporally by GIS savings in the future

#### THE QUEBEC PROPOSAL



Exempt new contributions on earnings below half YMPE

- Avoids the low income targeting and GIS problems
- Implies more modest expansion of CPP

#### COMPARISONS OF TWO PROPOSALS



#### 16-06

#### ANALYSE DE LA RENTABILITE ECONOMIQUE DES SCENARIOS DE REFORME DU RRQ PROPOSES EN 2016

CAHIER DE RECHERCHE WORKING PAPER

David Boisclair, Simon Brière, Guy Lacroix, Steeve Marchand et Pierre-Carl Michaud

Septembre / September 2016

- Microsim approach
- Account for tax implications of both proposals
- Compute lifetime effects:
  - Net present value (at effective rate of return on TFSA (3%) + annuity, roughly 2.5%)
  - Internal rate of return

Avg Income	Reform	QPP [C]	QPP [B]	GIS	WITB	Disp. Income	IRR
20000	Federal	4682	11213				4.4
	QC	557	1157				2.8
40000	Federal	9105	19887	-3122	488	2147	3.2
	QC	3038	6109	-991	0	368	2.8
80000	Federal	18303	37488				3.5
	QC	11124	21753				3.4

Avg Income	Reform	QPP [C]	QPP [B]	GIS	WITB	Disp. Income	IRR
							and the strength
20000	Federal	4682	11213	-3966			4.4
	QC	557	1157	-346			2.8
40000	Federal	9105	19887	-3122	488	2147	3.2
	QC	3038	6109	-991			2.8
80000	Federal	18303	37488	-181	12	8032	3.5
	QC	11124	21753	-142			3.4

Avg Income	Reform	QPP [C]	QPP [B]	GIS	WITB	Disp. Income	IRR
20000	Federal	4682	11213	-3966	1755		4.4
	QC	557	1157	-346	0		2.8
40000	Federal	9105	19887	-3122	488		3.2
	QC	3038	6109	-991	0	368	2.8
80000	Federal	18303	37488	-181	12		3.5
	QC	11124	21753	-142	0	4322	3.4

Avg Income	Reform	QPP [C]	QPP [B]	GIS	WITB	Disp. Income	IRR
20000	Federal	4682	11213	-3966	1755	2371	4.4
	QC	557	1157	-346	0	94	2.8
40000	Federal	9105	19887	-3122	488	2147	3.2
	QC	3038	6109	-991	0	368	2.8
80000	Federal	18303	37488	-181	12	8032	3.5
	QC	11124	21753	-142	0	4322	3.4

Avg Income	Reform	QPP [C]	QPP [B]	GIS	WITB	Disp. Income	IRR
20000	Federal	4682	11213	-3966	1755	2371	4.4
	QC	557	1157	-346	0	94	2.8
40000	Federal	9105	19887	-3122	488	2147	3.2
	QC	3038	6109	-991	0	368	2.8
80000	Federal	18303	37488	-181	12	8032	3.5
	QC	11124	21753	-142	0	4322	3.4

# ARE BOTH PROPOSALS REACHING THE POLICY OBJECTIVE?

- Not really ...
- Federal:
  - hits everyone, burden on employers
  - provides low rates of return for low earners solely from retirement system
  - patch with program not intended as part of pension system
- Provincial:
  - modest increase for intented group
  - more pressure on RVER (PRPP) to meet its objectives

• Opportunity missed: Discussion over GIS-OAS vs. QPP/CPP mix